

**IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
AUCKLAND**

**I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA
TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU**

**[2020] NZEmpC 23
EMPC 215/2019**

IN THE MATTER OF	a challenge to a determination of the Employment Relations Authority
BETWEEN	WILLIAM TAYLOR RUDLING Plaintiff
AND	BRIDGESTONE NEW ZEALAND LIMITED Defendant

Hearing: On the papers

Appearances: AF Drake and Dylan Pine, counsel for plaintiff
J Latimer, counsel for defendant

Judgment: 9 March 2020

CONSENT JUDGMENT OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA INGLIS

[1] The plaintiff's challenge to a determination of the Employment Relations Authority was set down for a three-day hearing in Auckland commencing Monday 9 March 2020.¹

[2] Counsel have advised the Court that the parties have reached a settlement of their dispute, the terms of which are contained in a settlement agreement signed pursuant to s 149 of the Employment Relations Act 2000.

[3] The following orders are made by consent:

¹ *Rudling v Bridgestone New Zealand Ltd* [2019] NZERA 343.

- (a) The Employment Relations Authority's determination is set aside.
- (b) The hearing scheduled for 9 March 2020 is vacated.
- (c) The parties are to bear their own costs, including for the Employment Relations Authority matter.

Christina Inglis
Chief Judge

Judgment signed at 2.30 pm on 9 March 2020