

**IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND  
CHRISTCHURCH**

**I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA  
ŌTAUTAHI**

**[2022] NZEmpC 153  
EMPC 85/2022**

IN THE MATTER OF a declaration under s 6(5) of the  
Employment Relations Act 2000

AND IN THE MATTER of an application to exclude evidence

BETWEEN SERENITY PILGRIM, ANNA COURAGE,  
ROSE STANDTRUE, CRYSTAL LOYAL,  
PEARL VALOR AND VIRGINIA  
COURAGE  
Plaintiffs

AND THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL SUED ON  
BEHALF OF THE MINISTRY OF  
BUSINESS, INNOVATION AND  
EMPLOYMENT, LABOUR  
INSPECTORATE  
First Defendant

AND HOWARD TEMPLE, FERVENT  
STEDFAST, SAMUEL VALOR, FAITHFUL  
PILGRIM, NOAH HOPEFUL AND  
STEPHEN STANDFAST  
Second Defendants

Hearing: On the papers

Appearances: B P Henry, counsel for plaintiffs  
J Catran and A Piaggi, counsel for first defendant  
P Skelton QC and C Pearce, counsel for second defendants  
R Kirkness, counsel to assist the Court

Judgment: 24 August 2022

---

**INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT (NO 11) OF JUDGE B A CORKILL  
(Revocation of interim non-publication order)**

---

[1] In a judgment as to admissibility matters, which I issued on 18 August 2022, I made an interim order of non-publication of the judgment and of Schedule A to that judgment.<sup>1</sup> I also made a permanent non-publication order in relation to Schedule B of that judgment.

[2] The purpose of the interim order was to allow counsel to receive the judgment and its Schedules, and for them to then have an opportunity to advise whether there were any impediments to publication before that step was taken.

[3] Counsel were heard earlier today, and no objection to publication of the text of the judgment and Schedule A were advanced by any counsel.

[4] Accordingly, I now revoke the interim order in respect of the judgment, and of Schedule A; these documents should now be published.

B A Corkill  
Judge

Judgment signed at 1.30 pm on 24 August 2022

---

<sup>1</sup> *Pilgrim v The Attorney-General* [2022] NZEmpC 145.