IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKAURAU

[2023] NZEmpC 97 EMPC 105/2022

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of the

Employment Relations Authority

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application for costs

BETWEEN WILSON-GRANGE INVESTMENTS

TRADING AS THE GRANGE BAR

AND RESTAURANT

Plaintiff

AND RICHARD GUERRA

Defendant

Hearing: On the papers

Appearances: JD Turner, counsel for plaintiff

S Greening and K Hudson, counsel for defendant

Judgment: 22 June 2023

COSTS JUDGMENT OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA INGLIS

- [1] This judgment deals with an application for costs following the Court's judgment of 14 March 2023, dismissing the plaintiff's challenge against a determination of the Employment Relations Authority.¹
- [2] These proceedings were assigned a costs categorisation of 2B at the initial directions conference, following discussion with the parties. That remains the appropriate categorisation. The defendant seeks a contribution to costs of \$23,422.00

Wilson-Grange Investments t/a The Grange Bar and Restaurant v Guerra [2023] NZEmpC 39.

(\$3,824.00 on an interlocutory application for a stay; \$19,598.00 for the substantive challenge). The defendant has not provided a schedule explaining how this sum was calculated. However, having reviewed the steps reasonably taken in these proceedings as against the Court's guideline scale, I accept that the claimed costs reflect a fair contribution to the defendant's costs in defending the plaintiff's challenge, and I did not understand the plaintiff to contend otherwise.²

[3] The plaintiff is accordingly ordered to pay the defendant, within 14 days of the date of this judgment, the sum of \$23,422.00.

Christina Inglis Chief Judge

Judgment signed at 8.30 am on 22 June 2023

² "Employment Court of New Zealand Practice Directions" <www.employmentcourt.govt.nz> at No 18.