IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKAURAU

[2024] NZEmpC 2 EMPC 278/2023

IN THE MATTER OF an application for pre-commencement

discovery

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application for costs

BETWEEN P.I.C. INSURANCE BROKERS

LIMITED Applicant

AND LEILANI MAREE PEPPER

First Respondent

AND GEOFFREY VICTOR COOPER

Second Respondent

AND OCEANIA INSURANCE BROKERS

LIMITED

Third Respondent

Hearing: On the papers

Appearances: J Baigent and A Birkinshaw, counsel for applicant

S Lapthorne and D Thompson, counsel for respondents

Judgment: 12 January 2024

CONSENT JUDGMENT OF JUDGE KATHRYN BECK

[1] The court has issued a judgment in this matter. The only outstanding issue in the proceeding is costs¹.

P.I.C. INSURANCE BROKERS LIMITED v LEILANI MAREE PEPPER [2024] NZEmpC 2 [12 January 2024]

P.I.C. Insurance Brokers Ltd v Pepper [2023] NZEmpC 195.

[2] In the judgment, the Court upheld the application for pre-commencement discovery against the respondents and made orders against the respondents (Discovery Orders). The parties were invited to attempt to reach agreement on costs.

[3] The parties have reached a settlement in respect of the dispute to which this proceeding relates (Settlement).

[4] Pursuant to the Settlement, the parties have agreed to take no further steps in relation to the Discovery Orders or the issue of costs of this proceeding unless and until there is any breach of the Settlement.

[5] A joint memorandum of the parties seeks that the agreement be recorded by way of consent orders.

[6] I make the following orders by consent:

(a) Costs are reserved.

(b) The respondents are excused from compliance with the discovery timetable.

(c) The respondents are excused from compliance with the costs timetable.

(d) The applicant may apply for determination of the costs claim and/or enforcement of the Discovery Orders subject to [4] above.

Kathryn Beck Judge